UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI — UNIVERSITÀ DI SASSARI
CENTRE FOR NORTH SOUTH ECONOMIC RESEARCH

CRENoS

CENTRO RICERCHE ECONOMICHE NORD SUD

**TOTAL FACTOR PRODUCTIVITY AND RELATIVE PRICES:
THE CASE OF ITALY**

Giorgio Garau
Stefano Deriu

WORKING PAPERS

2 0 2 0 / 0 3

arkadia

CENTRO RICERCHE ECONOMICHE NORD SUD
(CRENoS)
UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI
UNIVERSITÀ DI SASSARI

CRENOS was set up in 1993 with the purpose of organising the joint research effort of economists from the two Sardinian universities (Cagliari and Sassari) investigating dualism at the international and regional level. CRENoS' primary aim is to improve knowledge on the economic gap between areas and to provide useful information for policy intervention. Particular attention is paid to the role of institutions, technological progress and diffusion of innovation in the process of convergence or divergence between economic areas. To carry out its research, CRENoS collaborates with research centres and universities at both national and international level. The centre is also active in the field of scientific dissemination, organizing conferences and workshops along with other activities such as seminars and summer schools.
CRENoS creates and manages several databases of various socio-economic variables on Italy and Sardinia. At the local level, CRENoS promotes and participates to projects impacting on the most relevant issues in the Sardinian economy, such as tourism, environment, transports and macroeconomic forecasts.

www.crenos.unica.it
crenos@unica.it

Title: TOTAL FACTOR PRODUCTIVITY AND RELATIVE PRICES: THE CASE OF ITALY

Total Factor Productivity and Relative Prices: the case of Italy

Giorgio Garau
University of Sassari & CRENoS
Stefano Deriu
University of Macerata

Abstract

Fontela in his seminal work (1989) set up the distributional rule of productivity gain in the Input–Output context (Total Factor Productivity Surplus, TFPS). Garau (1996) proposed an extension to identify a measure of surplus, called Purchasing Power Transfer (PPT). This measure is given by the productivity gains and the market surplus generated by extra–profits conditions derived from rental position detained by agents. Such a decomposition is very useful from our point of view since it would provide information about the degree of non–competitiveness in different markets. In our paper, we compute and explain Fontela's TFPS comparing it with Garau's PPT for Italy for the year 2009-2014.

1. Theoretical framework

During the 70s and the 80s most of the industrialised countries experienced a fall in productivity. However, this phenomenon did not affect the USA that, especially in the 90s, has seen a growth in productivity and a bigger economic growth, thanks to the development of ICT and to the rise of the 'New Economy'. Fontela (2002) argues that this is a typical mesoeconomic effect, whose dimension lies between the impact of structural changes in the technology of production (effects on efficiency) and what has been observed at a macroeconomic level (effects on the growth). To better understand these processes it is useful to refer to Baumol (1967) and to his model of unbalanced growth. The model considers two sectors, one is increasingly more productive and the other is in a situation of stagnation. In an economy characterised by perfect mobility of labour, the unbalance determines a reduction of costs and prices in the first sector, especially if there is substitutability between goods, and this could determine the disappearance of the sector whose productivity is not growing. Sectors with a stagnant productivity often produce goods with an inelastic price (e.g. the artistic activities), and therefore the surplus transfers end up subsidising these activities. If instead the production of the two sectors is held in a fixed proportion, one would observe a progressive spill over of labour towards the less productive sector. This hypothesis have been empirically tested in different works (Baumol, Blackman and Wolf, 1985, Appelbaum and Schekatt, 1994). Another cause of this differential evolution can be found in the structure of the market which characterises the different sectors. Fontela, Lo Cascio e Pulido (2000) found that in general there is an inverse correlation between prices and productivity, except for those sectors, such as agriculture, for which prices are distorted by the intervention of the Government. In short, their analysis allows to state that one of the principal causes of the unbalanced growth is the structure of the market, therefore the existence of imperfect competition, where prices do not adjust according to technical changes. The relation between technical changes, market structure and prices has been analysed by Anne Carter (1990) in a model where, similarly to Baumol, it is considered an economic system composed by subsets of sectors, innovative sectors and sectors where no change in technology is observed. Results clearly show that the distribution of the innovation gains strongly depends on the structure of the market, and determines both an increase in profits, in the case where the advantages go to the capital holders, and a reduction of prices, in the case where the benefits go to the consumers under the form of increased purchasing power. All the cited studies underline the necessity of understanding what happens to prices and income when the effect of the innovation begins. For instance when at a certain time a productivity gain is observed, it is still not possible to say whether it would be preferable to obtain a long run price reduction, an income rise of different factors, or a combination of the possible effects. In this case, it is clear that an answer can be provided by using CGE models. For instance, the FSD model (Fontela, Solari and Duval, 1971) that links the demand function and the production function, cited in Fontela (2002) constitutes a sort of precursor. The cited contribution by Baumol and Carter allow to better understand the mechanism of unbalanced growth which has characterised the New Economy in the following way (Fontela, 2002). The 90s productivity growth in the USA is certainly based on the introduction of ICT in most of the productive sectors. In fact, as one would expect, in

a situation of perfect competition, this should produce a reduction of prices of all the goods that incorporate the new technology, and this process can be accelerated by the intervention of the Government for the dismantlement of public monopolies (e.g. telecommunications). At the same time, wages of specialised workers would rise, and possibly the surplus would be partially absorbed by those sectors owning patents in the ICT. What happens now to the demand will depend on the elasticity of consumption with respect to prices and income, and, if the elasticity of goods and services with high technological content is high, one should see a chain effect where a rise in demand stimulates innovation, prices fall and the demand rises again. This dynamic, typical of the New Economy, will end up when the system is saturated of high tech goods, and, in any case, in the final phase, only a high rate of innovation would allow the system to keep growing. Because the growth mechanism here described is highly unbalanced, it is necessary to try to govern it through public policies capable of avoiding social and financial global crisis (Fontela 2002). These last words of Fontela seem to predict the big economic/financial global crisis of 2008, whose effects are still perceived nowadays. His analysis is truly precious to understand why currently, a world that evolves at different speeds is still unable to firmly restart and leave stagnation behind. It is important to study the effects of innovation to understand what the public sector can do to help firms innovating and therefore to stimulate economic growth, as well as what could be done to avoid that the benefits of innovation, when existing, are entirely allocated to private sector and enterprises (Mazzucato 2014). This topic is linked to a correct design of cluster policies (those policies called smart specialisation strategy at an European and regional level) and to subsidies to the firms. In general when government resources are used, especially when its use can produce distortions, it would be opportune to perform an ex ante impact evaluation of the interventions, in order to better define the implementation and to report to the population costs and benefits of such policy. On this point, clearly explained by Mazzucato (2014), Fontela's contribution on the nature of surplus redistribution generated by technological progress between firms/sectors composing the economic system is extremely important. The Emilio Fontela lesson, who began studying these issues in the 80s applying surplus distribution measures based on macroeconomic accounting systems (national states) or microeconomic ones (enterprises), is fundamental to understand, today, the distribution dynamics that trigger once the progress and innovation benefits appear. In his seminal paper (1989), the principal finding was that "a growth process does not only imply a path of generation of TFP, it also includes an internal transfer between industries of the gains of TFP". Moreover, in Fontela (1993), he states that "the rule of distribution will finally be dictated by the structure of the different markets ... with perfect competition in all markets for products and primary factors, consumers will benefit immediately following price decrease but in all cases of more or less imperfect competition, the results will be less clear". In his work, Fontela qualifies his results relating to Spain as a demonstration of the appearance of an unbalanced growth path between manufacturing and services sectors (Baumol and Wolff, 1984). It is now clear which is the fundamental contribution of Fontela in providing valuable insights into the nature of productivity growth in order to design structural policies adeguate to foster economic growth. The paper is then organized aso follows. Section 2 contains illustration and theoretical justification of the Fontela system of surplus distribution. In section 3 we propose a method to calculate implicit price indexes, using input-output tables. Section 4 propose the Garau's

2

PPT distributional rules. In Section 5 we present the results of our work, that is we calculate the Fontela's model and the Garau's model using implicit price indexes. Finally, in the last section we try to show three possible ways of extend TFPS/PPT analysis in order to support the dissemination of Fontela's idea and to make its approach a more useful tool for economic analysis and policy evaluation.

2. Fontela's TFPS model

Fontela (1989) calls TFPS the differences between output and inputs, both measured at constant prices. The idea is based on the Input-Output table deflation at current prices, in order to obtain an "unbalanced" table at constant prices, i.e. a table in which the total row does not coincide with the total column; the difference between general total row and and column provides the TFPS. In order to obtain national accounting data at constant prices it is possible to adopt two different methodologies (Garau 1996):

- use of price indices;
- use of quantity indices.

In the first case we start from a table with prices and quantities of the current year:

$$\sum_j P_{ij0} Q_{ijt} = \sum_j \frac{P_{ijt} Q_{ijt}}{P_{ijt}/P_{ij0}}$$

In the second case we start from a table with prices and quantities of the base year:

$$\sum_j P_{ij0} Q_{ijt} = \sum_j P_{ij0} Q_{ij0} \frac{Q_{ijt}}{Q_{ij0}}$$

Regardless of the used method, having two Input-Output tables available at current and constant prices, it is possible to calculate the difference between them:

$$\sum_j p_{ijt} * q_{ijt} - \sum_j p_{ij0} * q_{ijt}$$

If this difference is positive, it means that the "j" sector sells its production at an higher price than the price of the base year, withholding surplus productivity; on the contrary, the "j" sector sells at a lower price, transferring productivity surplus to other sectors and to final consumers. From a productive point of view we have:

$$\sum_j p_{jit} * q_{jit} - \sum_j p_{ji0} * q_{jit}$$

3

In this case, positive value indicates that the "j" sector pays more the productive factors, transferring productivity surplus to the other sectors and to the value added (capital and work); on the contrary, the sector pays less for productive factors while retaining surplus productivity. Considering the methodology proposed by Fontela for the calculation of TFPS, we can write:

$$TFPS_{ij} = \sum_j p_{ij0} * q_{ijt} - \sum_j p_{ji0} * q_{jit} \qquad (1)$$

This approach enjoys a relationship with Kendrik's productivity index:

$$TFPS_{ij} = (KTFP_{it} - 1)\left(\sum_j P_{ji0} * Q_{jit}\right)$$

where

$$KTFP_{it} = \frac{\dfrac{\sum_j P_{ij0} * Q_{ijt}}{\sum_j P_{ijt} * Q_{ijt}}}{\dfrac{\sum_j P_{ji0} * Q_{jit}}{\sum_j P_{jit} * Q_{jit}}}$$

which represents the change in the "j" sector purchasing power, divided by an index of the change in purchasing power for the production factors remuneration used by the "j" sector.

Considering that in time "t" we have

$$\sum_j p_{ijt} * q_{ijt} = \sum_j p_{jit} * q_{jit}$$

$$\sum_j p_{ijt} * q_{ijt} - \sum_j p_{jit} * q_{jit} = 0$$

it is possible to rewrite formula n.1 as

$$TFPS_{ij} = \sum_j p_{ij0} * q_{ijt} - \sum_j p_{ji0} * q_{jit} - \left[\sum_j p_{ijt} * q_{ijt} - \sum_j p_{jit} * q_{jit}\right]$$

$$TFPS_{ij} = \sum_j p_{ij0} * q_{ijt} - \sum_j p_{ji0} * q_{jit} - \sum_j p_{ijt} * q_{ijt} + \sum_j p_{jit} * q_{jit}$$

$$TFPS_{ij} = -\sum_j p_{ijt} * q_{ijt} + \sum_j p_{ij0} * q_{ijt} + \sum_j p_{jit} * q_{jit} - \sum_j p_{ji0} * q_{jit}$$

$$TFPS_{ij} = -\sum_j q_{ijt} * (P_{ijt} - P_{ij0}) + \sum_j q_{jit} * (P_{jit} - P_{ji0}) \qquad (2)$$

which represents how the productivity surplus is redistributed in the economic system, and this depends on changes in output and inputs prices. The model can be transferred to an input-output context:

$X \ e \ \bar{X}$:   intermediate flows matrix at current and constant prices
$T \ e \ \bar{T}$:   taxes minus products contributions vector at current and constant prices
$VA \ e \ \overline{VA}$:   value added at current and constant prices
$M \ e \ \bar{M}$:   import vector at current and constant prices
$C \ e \ \bar{C}$:   consumption matrix at current and constant prices
$I \ e \ \bar{I}$:   investments matrix at current and constant prices
$E \ e \ \bar{E}$:   export vector at current and constant prices

Then we have

$$TFPS = (S'_l + s_T + s_{VA} + s_M) - (S_l + s_C + s_I + s_E) \qquad (3)$$

where

$S[s_{ij}] = X - \bar{X}$
$[s_T] = T - \bar{T}$
$[s_{VA}] = VA - \overline{VA}$
$[s_M] = M - \bar{M}$
$[s_C] = C - \bar{C}$
$[s_I] = I - \bar{I}$
$[s_E] = E - \bar{E}$

Results:

- $S[s_{ij}] > 0$: "j" sector transfers surplus to the "i" sector by intermediate inputs
- $s_{Ti} > 0$:   "j" sector transfers surplus to the State
- $s_{VAi} > 0$: "j" sector transfers surplus to the primary inputs (Capital and Work)
- $s_{Mi} > 0$ "j" sector transfers surplus to the rest of the world
- $s_{Ci} < 0$:   "j" sector transfers surplus to the consumers
- $s_{Ii} < 0$:   "j" sector transfers surplus to the investors
- $s_{Ei} < 0$ "j" sector transfers surplus to the rest of the world

3. Implicit price indexes and TFPS transfers

The idea behind this work is the construction of sector price indexes to be used for the deflation of the Italian Input-Output table referred to the year 2014. In particular, the central idea is the use of symmetrical Input-Output tables at current prices and at prices of the previous year, built ad hoc through the Supply and Use tables released by Istat. considering that:

- the Input-Output table at current prices for an year contains values

$$p_t * q_t$$

- the Input-Output table at prices of the previous year contains values

$$p_{t-1} * q_t$$

we can compare the values of the two tables in this way

$$\frac{p_t * q_t}{p_{t-1} * q_t} = \frac{p_t}{p_{t-1}} = I_{i;t,t-1}$$

and we obtain that represents the implicit price index between time "t" and time "t-1". Proceeding backwards, we have

$$\frac{p_{t-1} * q_{t-1}}{p_{t-2} * q_{t-1}} = \frac{p_{t-1}}{p_{t-2}}$$

Now, calculating the reciprocal of the latter, then

$$\frac{1}{\frac{p_{t-1}}{p_{t-2}}}$$

it is possibile to calculate

$$\frac{\frac{p_t}{p_{t-1}}}{\frac{1}{\frac{p_{t-1}}{p_{t-2}}}} = \frac{p_t}{p_{t-1}} * \frac{p_{t-1}}{p_{t-2}} = \frac{p_t}{p_{t-2}} = I_{i;t,t-2}$$

In general we have

$$\frac{p_t}{p_{t-1}} * \frac{p_{t-1}}{p_{t-k}} * \dots * \frac{p_{t-k}}{p_{t-n}} = \frac{p_t}{p_{t-n}} = I_{i;t,t-n}$$

than an implicit price index "I" for a "i" sector of the Input-Output table related to the "t, t-n" period. However, it should be emphasized that these price indices are not "pure"; in fact, we have to consider that Istat makes adjustments for the tables at prices of the previous year, that will also modify quantities, and not just prices, in order to obtain the balancing of the tables. However, it is agreed that these adjustments should not be invasive for quantities, ie they will not change quantities substantially, since if this were to happen the Input-Output table at the prices of the previous year would not reflect production for the year "t ", and the tables would therefore not be comparable. It is therefore presumed that the quantity adjustment is remarkably small, and therefore negligible.

Through the procedure described above we obtein the following implicit price indixes:

- Intersectoral flows matrix
- Taxes minus products contributions
- Consumption
- Investments
- Export
- Import
- Value added

The price indices are then transformed into relative price indices, comparing the price index with respect to the reference weighted average. Given an "X" vector, we have:

$$\bar{I} = \frac{I_{i;t,t-n} * X_i}{\sum_i X_i}$$

and the relative price index is given by

$$I^{rel}_{i;t,t-n} = I_{i;t,t-n} * \bar{I}$$

Through the relative price indices, the Input-Output table for the year 2014 is deflated at 2009 prices, and subsequently the TFPS are calculated using formula 3

4. Garau's PPT model

In the model proposed by Fontela, the effect of its variation due to the behavior of the economic system agents and markets, is not highlighted; in particular, the ability that some agents have to change prices is reflected on the $TFPS_{ij}$. Garau (1996), propose a model based on the $TFPS_{ij}$ decomposition. The price is divided into two parts:

$$p_{ij0} = p_{ij0}^* + p_{ij0}^{**}$$

- p_{ij0}^* it represents the market price that should be had if agents were not able to obtain extra profits (price under competition);
- p_{ij0}^{**} represents the change in price compared to the one in competition due to the behavior of economic agents, ie their ability to create extra profits (Martek Surplus)

Considering what has been said, the $TFPS_{ij}$ can therefore be decomposed as follows:

$$TFPS_{ij} = -\sum_j q_{ijt} * (p_{ijt} - p_{ij0}^* - p_{ij0}^{**}) + \sum_j q_{jit} * (p_{jit} - p_{ji0}^* - p_{ji0}^{**})$$

$$TFPS_{ij} = -\sum_j q_{ijt} * (p_{ijt} - p_{ij0}^*) + \sum_j q_{ijt} * p_{ij0}^{**} +$$

$$+ \sum_j q_{jit} * (p_{jit} - p_{ji0}^*) - \sum_j q_{jit} * p_{ji0}^{**}$$

Optimal $TFPS_{ij}$ is defined as

$$TFPS_{ij}^* = -\sum_j q_{ijt} * (p_{ijt} - p_{ij0}^*) + \sum_j q_{jit} * (p_{jit} - p_{ji0}^*)$$

while MS_{ij} is defined as

$$MS_{ij} = \sum_j q_{ijt} * p_{ij0}^{**} - \sum_j q_{jit} * p_{ji0}^{**}$$

Then the PPT_{ij} is given by

$$PPT_{ij} = TFPS_{ij} = optimal\ TFPS_{ij} + MS_{ij}$$

Considering the difference between terms of last equations, we observe that

- Optimal $TFPS_{ij}$ has a positive value if the second term is bigger than the first term; this means that the "i" sector generates and distributes purchasing power attributable to an increase in productivity;
- MS_{ij}, on the contrary, has a negative value if the second term is bigger then the first term; This means that a negative value corresponds to a redistribution of purchasing power.

We can estimate optimal $TFPS_{ij}$ using Törnqvist Index Price (Wolf, 1985 e 1989, Fontela, 1994) as a P_{ij}^* proxy. The Törnqvist index price is calculated in the following way:

$$T_{i,t-n,t} = \prod_i \left(\frac{p_{i,t}}{p_{i,t-n}}\right)^{\frac{w_{i,t-n}+w_{i,t}}{2}}$$

As we can see, the Törnqvist price index is unique for the entire "i" row of the Input-Output table, ie we consider that the price charged by a sector "i" to its products used as intermediate inputs is the same for all the purchasing sectors "j". For each sector "i" the relationship between prices is derived by comparing the values contained in the intersectorial matrices of the two tables

$$\frac{P_{2014} * P_{2014}}{Q_{2014} * P_{2009}} = \frac{P_{2014}}{P_{2009}}$$

In the formula, $w_{i,t}$, represents the value portion of the asset produced by the "j" sector on the value of the aggregate in the period t:

$$w_{i,t} = \frac{P_{i,t} * Q_{i,t}}{\sum_i P_{i,t} * Q_{i,t}}$$

And the same is for $w_{i,t-n}$. At this point, we deflate the Inpuit-Output table for the year 2014 with Törnqvist price index, and we reapplied the TFPS calculation procedure, in order to obtein the optimal $TFPS_{ij}$. The MS_{ij} is obteined as resiudal:

$$MS_{ij} = PPT_{ij} - optimal\ TFPS_{ij}$$

It is important to underline that the positivity or negativity of the sectoral PPT_{ij} depends on the sign of the optimal $TFPS_{ij}$ and the MS_{ij}; the possible combinations are:

$$PPT_{ij} > 0, \begin{cases} \left[-\sum_j q_{ijt} * (p_{ijt} - p^*_{ij0}) + \sum_j q_{jit} * (p_{jit} - p^*_{ji0})\right] > 0; \left[\sum_j q_{ijt} * p^{**}_{ij0} - \sum_j q_{jit} * p^{**}_{ji0}\right] > 0 \\ \left[-\sum_j q_{ijt} * (p_{ijt} - p^*_{ij0}) + \sum_j q_{jit} * (p_{jit} - p^*_{ji0})\right] > 0; \left[\sum_j q_{ijt} * p^{**}_{ij0} - \sum_j q_{jit} * p^{**}_{ji0}\right] < 0 \\ \left[-\sum_j q_{ijt} * (p_{ijt} - p^*_{ij0}) + \sum_j q_{jit} * (p_{jit} - p^*_{ji0})\right] < 0; \left[\sum_j q_{ijt} * p^{**}_{ij0} - \sum_j q_{jit} * p^{**}_{ji0}\right] > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{PPT}_{ij} < 0, \begin{cases} \left[-\sum_j q_{ijt} * (p_{ijt} - p^*_{ij0}) + \sum_j q_{jit} * (p_{jit} - p^*_{ji0}) \right] < 0; & \left[\sum_j q_{ijt} * p^{**}_{ij0} - \sum_j q_{jit} * p^{**}_{ji0} \right] < 0 \\[2em] \left[-\sum_j q_{ijt} * (p_{ijt} - p^*_{ij0}) + \sum_j q_{jit} * (p_{jit} - p^*_{ji0}) \right] > 0; & \left[\sum_j q_{ijt} * p^{**}_{ij0} - \sum_j q_{jit} * p^{**}_{ji0} \right] < 0 \\[2em] \left[-\sum_j q_{ijt} * (p_{ijt} - p^*_{ij0}) + \sum_j q_{jit} * (p_{jit} - p^*_{ji0}) \right] < 0; & \left[\sum_j q_{ijt} * p^{**}_{ij0} - \sum_j q_{jit} * p^{**}_{ji0} \right] > 0 \end{cases}$$

5. Results

In the following tables we report the results about:

- Table 1: PPT distribution in Italy, 2009 – 2014
- Table 2: Optimal TFPS distribution in Italy, 2009 – 2014
- Table 3: MS distribution in Italy, 2009 – 2014
- TABLE 4: PPT > 0 decomposition
- TABLE 5: PPT < 0 decomposition
- TABLE 6: Price Indexes

The results show the performance of sectors in terms of PPT, optimal TFPS and MS. It is interesting to carry out an analysis of the same from the point of view of the sectoral efficiency, considering in particular the case of positive optimal TFPS results.

The best performance is showed by "Extractive activities" and "Manufacturing of other non-metalliferous mineral processing products", with optimal TFPS equal respectively to 17,290 and 13,539 milions euros. These two sectors show also a positive MS, which means that for both sectors, PPT results from the efficiency of the system (capacity of the system to create surplus of productivity) and from the capacity of the agents to create additional surplus, using their Market power, by reducing sales prices. However, from a redistributive point of view, we observe that 50% of MS is transferred to the rest of the world through imports, while the remaining 50% is transferred to other sectors through an increase in the intermediate inputs remuneration. Another efficient sector is "Supply of electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning" with a value of optimal TFPS equal to 10,298 milions euros. However, part of such surplus, about 54%, is retained in the form of extra profits, which means that agents are able, through market distortions, to maintain higher prices, generating a negative MS. Of major importance is the result of the sector "Land transport and transport by pipelines". In this case we have an efficient sector, which creates surplus of productivity for 4.53 billion euros, but through the market power agents arrive to retain, increasing their prices, more than the generated TFPS and finally we observe a negative PPT.

In terms of optimal negative TFPS, we observe that "Construction" represents absolutely the worst performance, with a value of -26,584 milions euros. In particular, we found that the greater share of surplus is retained by investments, as well as by intermediate inputs. On the import side the sector transfers surplus to the rest of the world. Looking now at the MS circuit

we observe a small surplus generation of 5.058 billion euros, negligible compared to the loss of productivity. Moreover, this quota is transferred mostly to public consumption. Another non-performing sector is "Provision of financial services (excluding insurance and pension funds)" characterized by a strongly negative optimum TFPS, -24,865 milions euros. It is to be noted that this sector retains surplus from other production sectors through intermediate inputs, but also from added value, imports and consumers (households). The MS registers a value of 7.605 billion euros, too low to compensate the loss of productivity surplus, and then we register a negative PPT. Lastly, negative optimal TFPS is a charactheristics of the "Food, beverage and tobacco industries", with a value of-6.609 billion euros. In this case, the sector retains surplus from other sectors through intermediate inputs prices, but also from added value, imports and consumers (households). Finally this sector registers a negative MS, generating extra profits for economic agents for -200 million euros.

Lastly, the following sectors deserve special attention:

- "Health services activities". This sector is not efficient, even if the inefficiency is close to zero, (-853 million euros). However, the sector redistribute surplus through market power, reducing prices versus intermediate inputs, VA and the Rest of the world and augmenting prices versus Households.

-"Land transport and transport by pipelines" is an efficient sector (optimal TFPS of 4.53 billion euros) but registers a MS of-4.708 billion euros, thus producing a negative PPT. It means that the surplus of productivity generated by the efficiency of the market is retained in the form of extra profits.

-"Manufacture of coke and petroleum refining products" is the sector with the worst performance in MS, with a value of-13,733 milions euros. The sector is not efficient (optimal optimum TFPS equal to -350 million euros, close to zero) but the strong market power allows it to increase intermediate inputs prices and in fact this sector retains surplus from other sectors for about 98%.

6.   Further Topics Under Inspection

Fontela closes his seminal paper (Fontela, 1989) describing an alternative model of growth where the long–term trend of increasing relatives prices in services changes in order to allow a process of TFPS transfers. Nowadays the role of the service sector belong to renewable energies, green chemistry or "internet of things" (Rifkin, 2014), as these are sectors where a big concentration of research and innovation will probably produce TFP gains able to push the economy towards a new deal. In this Section, conceived as a road map for further inspection of area where TFPS analysis could be improved and become a useful tool for economic analysis and policy evaluation, we try to stress how the Fontela contribution today represents an inheritance rich of further suggestions.

6.1 Prices

Prices are fundamental, as seen in Sections 3, 4 and 5, in order to obtain coherent measures of TFPS and PPT. Moreover, in Garau (1997) and in Antille and Fontela (2003) a system of international prices is conceived to give an insight to the spatial distribution of productivity gains.Thefirst uses import and export prices produced by the World Bank and his

principal findings concern the possibility to design different mechanisms of effect transmission from the national to the inter–country dimension, depending on the national sectoral market power that is generally not strong enough to dominate there distribution mechanism at the international level. This subject is really interesting in the European federal context, in order to assess regional growth, understanding how some regions contribute to other region's growth. Antille and Fontela (2003) conceive a more detailed system of TFPS transfers, distinguishing among domestic and imported input flows. Their evidence supports the Kaldor'sidea (1976) that the evolution of the term of trade has to do with market structure and the example proposed, of Swiss industries with high level of innovation, able to appropriate their innovation gains by exporting at high prices in well differentiated markets, fits well with the Garau's development of Fontela idea.

6.2 Accounting System

The recent book of T.Piketty (2014), that rediscovers and provides new arguments for the Kuznets Curve(1955), brings back the focus of the economic discussion on the distribution of the surplus between capital and labour, providing also empirical evidence of the long term with regards to factors affecting it. Among convergence factors (i.e. reduction of inequalities,the most relevant is the innovation and knowledge diffusion resulting from human capital investments as it allows an increase in productivity and a positive effect one conomic growth. Clearly, the first divergence factor is the absence of such investments but also the imbalance derived from wealth accumulation and concentration processes. When the annual ROE is greater than the growth rate, the assets inherited from the past grow faster than the production process; a minimum amount of capital income savings is needed to allow capital growth increase faster than the overall economic growth. The labour–capital conflict, ending up giving advantage to the capital side imposes to understand upstream mechanisms producing such results. Difference between PPT and TFPS could be interpreted as inertia in the adjustment mechanisms or, equivalently, as a distance from perfect competition and related automatic adjustment mechanisms. In this view we find that an interesting research topic could be the use of business registers of Job Centre (covering all dependent labour market movements, i.e. entry and exit in labour market) and Academic Institutions (graduates archives) to build labour market scenarios and to model how human capital policies (but also R&D policies) can determine sectoral growth and contribute to define productivity growth pattern. Input–Output accounting maintains its focus on production (where productivity gains are generated) and it is therefore inadequate to capture the complexity of the interrelations between production and consumption. Conversely, SAM accounting allows it. When the observed deviation from the optimal distributional result depends on the asymmetric speeds ofadjustment of prices under the hypothesis that a rapid (low) adjustment of a specific price, means less (greater) dynamic rental positions for consumer, workers or enterprises emerge. Some possible and useful results of TFPS/PPT analysis conducted in this new accounting context concern the link among the generation of productivity gains in the production area with other areas, likes a vingand social security, where arrive a huge amount of resources produced by technical progress and/or augmented by market power. In this same research line, implementation of accounting systems specifically integrated in the context of national

accounts, is to be considered the analysis contained in Lo Cascio, Carbonaro, Guidi (1998), in which the authors use the satellite account of Transportation for structural analysis, in order to understand how this sector will affect the profitability of the economic system. The main results of the adoption of the TFPS transfer approach shows a strong absorption of TFPS from other branches, accounting for 7% of GDP. Contributions come from the agricultural sector and the manufacturing and at the level of primary factors is essential the contribution of labour, resulting in a clear loss of welfare.

6.3 A modelling context

We need to have models useful for monitoring policies, able to estimate the possible long–term behaviour of some fundamental macroeconomic variables when structural policies are implemented. In that view we propose to go in depth in the modelling version constituted by CGE models. The analysis of productivity distribution and the modelling of regional technical change could be integrated in a CGE model in which the technology will be the most important variable in explaining relationship on the supply side. Such a model will be able to capture the short and long run effects (together with the transitional pathway) of an increase inproductivity and then forecasting the productivity gains transfers among economic agents. Such model could be very helpful for the policy maker when the aim is both to push on the productivity and the final destination to the primary factors of the corresponding welfare gains. For example, if the regional government would invest in R&D, the analysis of TFP surplus can suggest us which sector would be able to generate the highest TFP and the surplus available for the distribution to the other agents. The picture of inter–industry diffusion and distribution of the welfare gains of innovations provided by this model might be used to manage the process of prices adjustment when the industrial policy takes the form of selective subsidies. In fact, they can be oriented towards correction of imperfections in the market mechanism or in favour of sectors that exhibit high rate of innovation in order to transfer massive welfare gainsto the rest of the economy. The standard CGE modelling approach, based on neoclassical assumptions such as perfectly competitive markets and constant return to scale, leads to results on key macroeconomic variables consistent with price competition. Conversely, in our analysis we are interested in the study of the effects that a rise in an economic system when in a specific sector there is evidence of imperfect competition. Usually, CGE models with imperfect competition are based on the theory of product varieties that, in turn, is derived from the theory of industrial organisation all owing the economies of scale instead of constant return to scale. These models are used, between others applications, in order to assess the impact of the European unification in reducing inequalities, labour market imperfections and as a modelling tool, to endogenise innovation and technical progress that allows firms to determine their price mark–up endogenously and, in this way, to affect consumersutility. The comparison between the long term simulation produced by the two models (standard and with imperfect competition) may be a good instrument to design public policies in order to support the process of diffusion of innovation, to choose which sectors need further liberalization and finally to design a tax system that allows the community to take control of at least part of the benefits of innovation, so that it can then support also in the future.

NACE classification

1 Agriculture, hunting and related service activities
2 Forestry and logging
3 Fishing and aquaculture
4 Mining and quarrying
5 Food products, beverages and tobacco products
6 Textile products, wearing apparel and leather products
7 Manufacture of wood and of products of wood and cork, except furniture; manufacture of articles of straw and plaiting materials
8 Paper products
9 Printing and reproduction of recorded media
10 Coke and refined petroleum products
11 Chemical products
12 Basic pharmaceutical products and pharmaceutical preparations
13 Manufacture of rubber and plastic products
14 Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products
15 Manufacture of basic metals
16 Manufacture of fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment
17 Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products
18 Manufacture of electrical equipment
19 Manufacture of machinery and equipment n.e.c.
20 Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers
21 Manufacture of other transport equipment
22 Manufacture of furniture, other manufacturing
23 Repair and installation services of machinery and equipment
24 Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply
25 Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities
26 Sewerage, waste collection, treatment and disposal activities, materials recovery, remediation activities and other waste management services
27 Construction
28 Wholesale and retail trade services, repair of vehicles and motorcycles
29 Wholesale trade, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles
30 Retail trade, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles
31 Land transport and transport via pipelines
32 Water transport
33 Air transport
34 Warehousing and support activities for transportation
35 Postal and courier activities
36 Accommodation and food service activities
37 Publishing activities
38 Motion picture, video and television programme production, sound recording and music publishing activities, programming and broadcasting
39 Telecommunications
40 Computer programming, consultancy and related activities, information service activities
41 Financial service activities, except insurance and pension funding
42 Insurance, reinsurance and pension funding, except compulsory social security
43 Activities auxiliary to financial services and insurance activities
44 Real estate activities
45 Legal and accounting activities, activities of head offices, management consultancy activities
46 Architectural and engineering activities, technical testing and analysis
47 Scientific research and development
48 Advertising and market research
49 Other professional, scientific and technical activities, veterinary activities
50 Rental and leasing activities
51 Employment activities
52 Travel agency, tour operator reservation service and related activities
53 Security and investigation activities, services to buildings and landscape activities, office administrative, office support and other business support activities
54 Public administration and defence, compulsory social security
55 Education
56 Human health activities
57 Social work activities
58 Creative, arts and entertainment activities, libraries, archives, museums and other cultural activities, gambling and betting activities
59 Arts, entertainment and recreation
60 Activities of membership organisations
61 Repair of computers and personal and household goods
62 Other personal service activities
63 Activities of households as employers, undifferentiated goods- and services-producing activities of households for own use

Table 1: PPT Distribution in Italy, 2009 – 2014; values in millions of Euros

	Intersectorial	Taxes	Value Added	Imports	Households consumptions	ISP consumptions	Public expenditure	Investments	Valuables	Change in stocks	Exports	Total
1	-160,30	30,11	1.239,11	799,27	494,21	-2,75	-1,64	7,92	0,12	729,15	461,53	219,65
2	22,79	-15,67	77,44	63,44	125,51	0,00	-3,03	0,00	0,00	1,42	5,99	18,11
3	-141,57	14,46	262,82	59,93	513,94	0,00	0,00	-3,62	-0,01	2,27	32,28	-349,23
4	19.234,49	30,67	-497,87	18.840,87	956,20	0,40	-2,90	-112,58	-0,02	-2.796,37	60,14	39.503,30
5	-1.519,56	140,70	-3.013,72	-1.739,30	3.478,38	0,77	-16,04	-80,07	-0,04	933,90	-3.839,61	-6.609,17
6	-96,96	105,24	-2.641,65	-122,95	3.611,66	-0,26	7,26	45,46	5,06	643,84	-4.454,28	-2.615,06
7	104,47	9,57	788,34	319,84	679,91	-8,39	15,76	178,65	32,60	-40,14	-39,50	403,31
8	189,62	22,27	-527,13	323,84	23,95	-0,03	-1,63	-16,72	0,26	144,79	-518,98	376,96
9	-883,02	-9,31	-590,02	241,19	150,67	-0,02	-0,95	18,31	1,52	29,77	156,21	-1.596,67
10	-6.554,74	57,27	2.734,18	3.055,19	6.448,64	0,00	6,57	49,86	1,04	1.898,23	4.970,50	-14.082,94
11	1.213,72	323,15	-1.521,68	2.011,28	699,92	5,00	12,95	452,42	0,24	876,85	-719,14	698,22
12	2.279,43	5,97	-1.194,60	-2.538,27	-940,41	1,13	341,14	1,98	-0,34	422,70	-4.694,26	3.420,61
13	-2.611,13	87,81	-1.336,43	-737,47	1.212,27	-0,37	-1,66	-75,43	9,90	275,13	-386,98	-5.630,06
14	8.232,56	70,59	163,03	8.363,32	1.665,35	-0,14	5,70	90,45	5,83	-1.113,20	-607,91	16.783,42
15	-452,08	-153,02	-2.697,34	6.042,00	452,36	0,22	2,68	125,78	93,85	838,53	3.586,39	-2.360,24
16	-2.400,30	42,08	15,62	-566,67	287,24	-0,12	6,48	2.066,62	16,97	449,00	-1.751,68	-3.983,78
17	2.526,80	9,97	1.278,13	408,95	-738,70	1,36	6,66	577,81	14,69	122,55	188,06	4.051,42
18	143,21	12,31	585,93	-1.218,40	447,56	0,40	-1,21	480,86	2,59	669,77	-1.497,12	-579,80
19	3.605,67	79,13	-2.587,33	-2.337,08	-111,14	-0,84	-4,65	1.209,49	5,77	1.253,75	-8.524,75	4.932,74
20	2.897,12	-23,18	-452,95	759,15	1.712,13	-1,07	-3,54	2.145,70	0,06	1.034,62	-4.885,40	3.177,63
21	432,15	-57,27	-187,48	1.357,62	627,18	1,56	-0,13	3.089,86	1,06	-742,75	-1.355,77	-75,97
22	-43,55	8,58	930,54	-1.176,76	1.749,16	-0,69	-0,05	378,86	410,50	276,60	-2.009,74	-1.085,84
23	-53,22	-3,79	698,17	-308,76	-67,66	-0,09	-1,93	1.175,09	-0,43	-353,94	-531,81	113,17
24	2.730,88	-966,60	-74,68	1.501,88	-1.645,69	-0,09	-12,70	166,99	1,32	-17,57	-93,09	4.792,31
25	-168,41	153,19	275,53	62,92	562,61	5,06	-9,02	-8,63	0,00	0,42	16,63	-243,83
26	2.580,77	129,45	2.365,40	1.067,09	187,42	1,49	17,45	17,45	0,52	12,49	296,23	5.633,21
27	-11.084,01	349,14	13.823,83	130,90	131,97	0,22	-2.888,44	27.569,32	1,33	-8,23	-59,75	-21.526,58
28	-1.486,03	6,21	732,05	336,84	823,02	-0,04	3,67	1.682,39	0,46	12,25	248,18	-3.180,86
29	-5.237,90	56,87	-4.408,74	-2.583,48	1.488,04	0,91	-211,11	121,14	17,53	90,09	-3.229,54	-10.450,32
30	700,55	138,98	-3.632,38	-1.469,95	-2.079,99	-3,21	-247,04	23,47	0,98	-2,67	-2.358,00	403,65
31	-1.081,95	951,65	3.885,10	223,01	3.377,00	-0,10	566,94	100,16	-0,92	-38,61	152,04	-178,70
32	-475,07	46,70	382,67	94,90	539,94	0,89	37,89	17,74	0,04	-0,09	492,64	-1.039,87
33	799,83	-2,60	1.175,83	-182,54	-104,27	-0,07	-110,29	14,56	0,03	-0,09	-456,73	2.447,38
34	-589,61	140,85	687,28	-283,58	358,10	-0,28	97,55	-146,12	-0,58	-13,92	-72,05	-267,75
35	-304,18	46,58	369,01	-260,41	588,14	-0,06	20,34	15,00	0,53	1,32	-429,51	-344,76
36	2.185,01	242,46	-1.799,03	-148,61	-2.390,65	-91,28	-40,70	9,40	0,57	-2,20	-14,06	3.008,76
37	-746,52	-6,29	1.409,06	2,64	981,44	0,57	0,20	1.137,43	-0,43	41,20	311,39	-1.812,92
38	243,71	-5,32	1.110,11	99,07	358,64	-0,46	-0,17	250,75	0,14	-17,09	108,58	747,18
39	-4.384,57	-2,38	-3.989,72	635,93	1.619,55	1,79	11,28	517,90	1,62	-256,36	-426,39	-9.210,14
40	3.094,65	91,61	-1.196,21	-301,01	519,85	-3,94	-150,94	-4.812,42	1,54	35,90	-982,68	7.081,73
41	-10.066,30	-32,63	-10.284,00	-1.196,89	-3.720,59	-0,64	0,65	-103,55	0,00	3,08	-498,69	-17.260,08
42	1.636,15	54,01	2.466,39	562,01	1.351,77	-0,04	-0,10	-24,80	0,00	0,19	296,14	3.095,41
43	3.356,63	182,67	3.945,08	-591,74	-155,57	-0,02	-2,59	49,20	0,00	0,69	-247,15	7.248,09
44	-4.601,52	39,85	-1.568,20	272,94	-5.340,04	5,00	19,68	4.286,19	0,00	0,37	446,96	-5.275,09
45	-1.772,66	57,81	2.497,09	-744,64	126,13	3,51	-15,78	929,13	0,01	-44,47	-328,90	-632,03
46	-904,12	19,41	1.069,09	-302,53	66,14	-1,15	-70,93	254,25	0,01	-105,09	120,45	-381,83
47	339,30	66,31	-813,17	154,09	14,93	3,36	26,66	-353,45	0,00	-8,27	-786,86	850,16
48	-640,22	5,67	-978,48	254,82	46,31	-0,80	-5,31	-1,49	0,10	110,00	-5,53	-1.501,49
49	-853,27	19,52	199,67	126,68	386,31	-2,95	-122,61	-64,45	0,54	59,67	148,10	-912,01
50	-1.063,33	-1,15	-1.283,91	403,73	-9,94	-0,11	-0,09	-19,33	-0,38	4,03	-54,91	-1.863,91
51	-1.256,64	12,59	-966,72	206,78	-77,75	0,00	-12,37	-8,65	0,00	3,37	160,40	-2.068,99
52	-381,13	6,86	-174,68	345,96	433,94	-0,02	45,51	-18,59	-0,50	-1,87	337,00	-998,45
53	1.047,36	130,06	2.667,02	666,75	158,36	-0,98	-169,88	-21,55	0,00	131,14	782,56	3.631,54
54	-2.495,88	768,54	87,86	12,12	164,52	0,10	3.676,85	-28,69	0,00	3,42	1,06	-5.444,62
55	-82,34	109,57	-6.364,13	-61,99	836,28	12,70	-7.424,55	-19,01	0,01	1,20	-20,67	215,15
56	2.768,91	708,78	-2.039,04	-204,22	1.101,52	-89,85	-3.832,47	-30,26	15,55	13,14	-234,07	4.290,87
57	854,70	98,01	-22,37	1,52	-97,06	19,94	-387,99	1,26	0,02	-0,14	1,55	1.394,29
58	836,30	216,66	1.224,12	276,15	1.231,13	-13,14	68,46	33,62	27,46	-4,15	-124,02	1.333,86
59	-923,72	92,16	-190,94	1,04	774,02	13,74	46,17	19,90	-0,02	-13,24	-63,95	-1.798,09
60	257,11	12,87	241,31	-4,58	154,20	30,89	-104,94	5,18	0,00	0,51	5,96	414,92
61	-24,01	1,99	113,47	-13,58	196,47	0,00	1,29	10,33	1,25	-28,41	-64,47	-38,59
62	1.225,91	63,69	531,91	7,56	-440,05	-2,67	18,75	-32,51	0,02	86,68	-11,12	2.209,98
63	0,00	0,00	-1.374,85	0,00	-1.536,32	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	161,47
Total	0,00	4.791,37	-8.377,25	30.997,81	24.458,15	-115,64	-10.818,41	43.345,96	669,99	5.605,15	-32.992,08	-2.741,18

Table 2: Optimal TFPS distribution in Italy, 2009 – 2014; values in millions of Euros

	Intersectorial	Taxes	Value Added	Imports	Households consumptions	ISP consumptions	Public expenditure	Investments	Valuables	Change in stocks	Exports	Total
1	-110,15	-47,90	809,12	735,00	1.373,36	-0,43	0,24	-6,76	-0,05	721,22	162,75	-864,26
2	115,42	7,89	95,39	61,19	104,20	0,00	-71,10	0,00	0,00	2,96	4,25	239,57
3	-85,37	6,04	374,12	-27,24	381,75	0,00	0,00	-0,05	-0,01	0,58	7,66	-122,37
4	8.523,52	-9,93	-312,57	8.723,00	370,70	0,38	-3,07	-114,37	-0,05	-511,32	-108,00	17.289,75
5	-4.785,72	-190,07	-785,11	-1.711,11	2.108,44	0,58	-10,14	-86,72	-1,44	1.151,67	-4.225,56	-6.408,84
6	-2.416,35	82,52	-858,61	-557,59	5.073,50	-0,46	10,69	10,21	1,04	616,08	-5.932,94	-3.528,15
7	-20,82	-33,22	768,91	360,14	627,39	-8,93	15,90	207,57	22,28	1,18	-97,36	306,97
8	515,59	97,13	-163,75	385,87	9,36	-0,02	-0,83	-11,72	-0,03	149,05	-258,17	947,20
9	-770,80	1,06	59,05	191,45	94,05	-0,03	-1,01	14,44	0,88	49,21	99,92	-776,70
10	7.009,61	94,61	2.892,25	2.513,65	6.633,54	0,03	7,88	64,91	1,05	2.255,89	3.896,78	-349,95
11	-247,99	-31,75	-367,41	1.772,91	734,13	4,81	25,03	473,08	-0,55	1.000,42	-1.845,05	733,90
12	2.304,33	-17,85	-311,08	-1.462,60	-757,97	0,48	785,90	-38,80	-1,63	415,37	-5.336,89	5.446,35
13	-2.378,22	-68,64	-1.083,50	-4,60	1.228,19	-0,42	-0,95	-67,48	6,25	293,03	-658,62	-4.334,95
14	6.051,96	10,69	1.034,30	6.162,74	1.443,57	-0,19	6,22	68,67	4,54	-1.058,52	-798,05	13.593,45
15	-1.706,86	-32,30	495,05	4.867,25	363,63	0,19	1,95	124,79	56,60	852,35	3.121,89	-898,26
16	-2.890,65	-105,33	-679,20	-378,55	200,57	-0,27	0,61	1.897,14	9,30	460,67	-2.143,27	-4.478,48
17	3.165,33	-15,97	37,50	5.119,98	715,26	0,77	9,33	950,95	8,37	127,36	-123,46	6.618,26
18	105,07	-1,05	582,25	-211,91	484,01	0,21	-0,47	446,36	1,33	607,26	-1.706,04	641,69
19	-708,15	-232,31	-4.566,37	-1.238,05	-140,29	-1,53	-3,58	843,49	2,85	1.082,55	-9.552,61	1.024,24
20	674,69	-159,34	-623,58	2.499,35	982,60	-2,49	-1,61	2.569,21	0,01	989,16	-5.295,08	3.149,31
21	-316,99	-137,09	-782,98	1.117,57	513,53	1,30	1,43	2.952,29	0,37	-1.302,48	-1.809,05	-476,88
22	-1.117,45	-42,62	-241,82	-511,21	1.556,14	-0,76	1,20	419,87	207,93	155,80	-2.324,26	-1.929,01
23	-439,05	-69,15	45,95	-90,74	-28,53	-0,10	-1,47	1.171,70	-0,92	-192,15	-488,63	-1.012,89
24	7.005,65	1.454,15	961,98	1.933,18	946,27	-0,06	8,25	101,84	-3,81	-15,38	19,82	10.298,02
25	-39,51	-29,74	-66,84	62,92	300,43	4,23	11,18	19,61	0,00	0,96	14,55	-424,13
26	3.941,46	48,00	881,85	1.002,27	-126,14	1,28	14,28	33,60	0,50	23,20	264,94	5.661,93
27	-13.053,96	227,41	10.891,61	73,61	-385,47	0,24	-163,71	25.334,87	-0,46	-20,88	-41,71	-26.584,22
28	-1.054,12	-9,74	1.075,94	352,47	973,64	-0,04	2,93	806,56	-0,73	9,57	211,99	-1.639,36
29	-2.465,15	-196,09	-1.541,16	-2.930,85	-1.025,25	0,74	-193,75	-817,33	-56,96	78,76	-4.036,56	-1.082,89
30	847,26	-270,44	-3.392,85	-1.228,90	-3.837,60	-6,82	9,84	-90,32	-64,52	-88,21	-2.858,81	2.891,51
31	2.646,46	-437,16	4.161,32	533,36	1.151,87	-0,04	556,73	123,39	5,28	-29,22	566,18	4.529,79
32	-418,02	-207,95	138,20	123,76	234,79	3,56	43,15	-30,16	-0,80	-0,17	745,80	-1.360,17
33	2.605,14	-48,52	1.727,58	-110,93	-566,82	-0,07	-110,14	12,84	0,03	-0,11	-354,30	5.191,85
34	1.261,95	14,88	-339,90	90,27	-409,04	-0,12	329,55	-118,37	-0,11	-11,74	-148,49	1.385,51
35	-104,67	81,24	455,86	-301,67	555,88	-0,06	19,04	15,21	0,55	1,34	-403,79	-57,39
36	2.311,38	-238,95	-773,92	-218,87	-3.915,73	-153,20	-6,49	9,98	-0,14	-1,06	-18,33	5.164,58
37	-180,11	-11,38	959,71	119,50	1.072,63	0,78	0,45	57,72	-0,59	46,52	180,83	-470,62
38	657,40	-32,85	1.250,16	107,48	150,65	-0,64	-0,01	252,19	0,04	-25,43	55,14	1.550,25
39	-3.388,00	-167,19	775,02	482,22	405,07	0,68	9,08	254,22	1,21	-301,67	-739,69	-1.926,86
40	3.524,81	231,87	-1.660,14	-92,46	171,84	-4,03	-114,69	-3.820,72	1,44	40,28	-1.190,14	6.920,10
41	-13.788,37	-307,97	-7.960,06	-1.277,18	2.284,88	-0,77	-0,47	-107,93	0,00	0,73	-644,89	-24.865,13
42	371,46	294,86	-87,34	830,70	3.152,70	0,00	0,52	-0,25	0,00	0,04	272,97	-2.016,29
43	4.643,91	61,79	2.339,38	-102,38	-220,42	-0,01	-0,65	62,54	0,00	0,53	-264,86	7.365,56
44	-864,03	188,50	-1.065,05	304,05	-2.989,03	4,91	92,05	4.393,92	0,00	0,07	371,40	-3.309,86
45	243,62	-121,16	629,03	-698,12	-127,54	-18,40	3,92	822,11	-0,02	-42,65	-280,71	-303,33
46	-41,25	-40,66	890,92	-378,09	66,66	-1,14	-80,67	219,20	-0,01	-119,61	142,95	203,55
47	86,77	-56,63	-820,42	170,40	18,95	0,85	46,49	-161,11	0,00	-11,06	-844,63	330,63
48	-684,23	-27,44	-172,39	125,84	37,79	-1,22	-7,12	-6,19	0,10	-260,56	-35,81	-485,21
49	-369,65	-39,26	-325,80	176,42	424,74	-2,98	-189,26	-86,36	0,35	78,84	255,79	-1.039,41
50	-915,51	-2,16	-629,73	207,66	-55,51	-0,16	-0,30	-28,22	-0,70	4,11	-46,27	-1.212,35
51	-831,07	-7,33	-894,08	194,85	-75,46	0,00	-11,27	-4,55	0,00	3,44	193,08	-1.642,87
52	-545,07	-41,29	-97,99	309,37	281,24	-0,02	47,35	-18,38	-0,58	-4,98	279,66	-959,27
53	2.040,11	-93,95	1.022,29	759,28	58,00	-0,90	-14,44	-4,19	-0,27	132,46	850,00	2.707,08
54	-2.638,21	133,80	1.721,57	16,40	26,05	0,01	3.924,87	-3,56	0,00	2,50	3,78	-4.720,09
55	-1.017,16	-60,23	-5.600,07	-64,20	-998,14	-68,22	-4.305,74	-34,76	-0,04	1,39	-37,95	-1.298,20
56	-595,77	-318,01	-3.849,14	-159,85	-779,18	-102,83	-2.944,39	-16,46	5,70	4,95	-237,36	-853,20
57	347,20	12,21	-474,55	1,32	-190,95	25,33	-445,74	1,63	0,01	-0,15	1,42	494,62
58	327,01	-50,31	527,71	248,21	586,25	-27,53	-5,74	30,05	10,30	-46,35	-181,31	686,94
59	-954,38	-9,68	-103,44	-5,68	588,49	-20,84	67,24	18,54	-0,63	-3,72	-48,93	-1.673,33
60	-32,71	-76,26	-146,56	-6,69	60,22	-117,99	-121,13	4,44	0,00	-0,42	5,77	-93,10
61	17,05	-1,53	93,33	47,35	188,60	0,00	1,89	19,98	0,52	-32,17	-60,71	38,09
62	631,01	-131,77	384,13	11,24	-654,37	-5,43	19,78	-31,65	-0,05	86,40	-3,09	1.483,00
63	0,00	0,00	-1.196,90	0,00	-1.196,90	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Total	0,00	-1.181,48	-3.892,82	29.024,76	20.255,23	-497,82	-2.734,97	39.102,72	213,76	7.367,91	-43.452,05	3.695,68

Table 3: MS distribution in Italy, 2009 – 2014; value in millions of Euros

	Intersectorial	Taxes	Value Added	Imports	Households consumptions	ISP consumptions	Public expenditure	Investments	Valuables	Change in stocks	Exports	Total
1	-50,15	78,01	429,99	64,27	-879,16	-2,32	-1,87	14,68	0,17	7,93	298,78	1.083,91
2	-92,63	-23,56	-17,95	2,25	21,31	0,00	68,07	0,00	0,00	-1,54	1,73	-221,46
3	-56,21	8,42	-111,30	87,17	132,18	0,00	0,00	-3,56	0,00	1,69	24,62	-226,86
4	10.710,97	40,60	-185,31	10.117,87	585,50	0,02	0,17	1,79	0,03	-2.285,06	168,14	22.213,55
5	3.266,16	330,77	-2.228,61	-28,19	1.369,94	0,19	-5,90	6,65	1,40	-217,77	385,95	-200,33
6	2.319,39	22,72	-1.783,04	434,65	-1.461,84	0,20	-3,43	35,25	4,02	27,76	1.478,67	913,09
7	125,29	42,79	19,42	-40,30	52,53	0,54	-0,14	-28,93	10,32	-41,32	57,86	96,34
8	-325,97	-74,87	-363,39	-62,04	14,58	-0,01	-0,80	-5,00	0,29	-4,26	-260,81	-570,25
9	-112,22	-10,38	-649,07	49,74	56,63	0,01	0,05	3,86	0,64	-19,43	56,29	-819,97
10	-13.564,35	-37,34	-158,07	541,54	-184,90	-0,03	-1,31	-15,05	-0,01	-357,66	1.073,72	-13.732,98
11	1.461,71	354,90	-1.154,27	238,37	-34,21	0,19	-12,07	-20,66	0,79	-123,57	1.125,92	-35,68
12	-24,90	23,82	-883,52	-1.075,67	-182,44	0,65	-444,77	40,77	1,29	7,34	642,64	-2.025,74
13	-232,91	156,45	-252,94	-732,86	-15,92	0,05	-0,71	-7,96	3,65	-17,90	271,64	-1.295,11
14	2.180,60	59,90	-871,26	2.200,58	221,78	0,06	-0,51	21,78	1,29	-54,68	190,13	3.189,97
15	1.254,78	-120,71	-3.192,39	1.174,75	88,73	0,03	0,72	0,98	37,25	-13,82	464,51	-1.461,98
16	490,35	147,41	694,82	-188,12	86,67	0,15	5,87	169,48	7,66	-11,67	391,59	494,70
17	-638,53	25,94	1.240,63	-4.711,03	-1.453,96	0,59	-2,67	-373,14	6,32	-4,81	311,52	-2.566,85
18	38,14	13,36	3,69	-1.006,49	-36,45	0,18	-0,74	34,50	1,26	62,52	208,92	-1.221,49
19	4.313,83	311,44	1.979,04	-1.099,04	29,15	0,69	-1,07	366,00	2,92	171,21	1.027,86	3.908,50
20	2.222,43	136,16	170,63	-1.740,20	729,53	1,42	-1,93	-423,51	0,05	45,46	409,68	28,32
21	749,13	79,82	595,50	240,05	113,65	0,26	-1,56	137,57	0,69	559,72	453,27	400,91
22	1.073,90	51,20	1.172,36	-665,55	193,03	0,07	-1,24	-41,00	202,58	120,79	314,52	843,17
23	385,83	65,36	652,22	-218,02	-39,12	0,01	-0,46	3,39	0,49	-161,80	-43,19	1.126,06
24	-4.274,77	-2.420,75	-1.036,66	-431,29	-2.591,96	-0,02	-20,96	65,15	5,13	-2,19	-112,91	-5.505,71
25	-128,90	182,93	342,37	0,00	262,18	0,82	-20,20	-28,24	0,00	-0,54	2,08	180,31
26	-1.360,69	81,44	1.483,55	64,82	313,56	0,21	-20,40	-16,14	0,01	-10,71	31,30	-28,72
27	1.969,94	121,72	2.932,22	57,29	517,44	-0,02	-2.724,73	2.234,45	1,79	12,66	-18,04	5.057,63
28	-431,91	15,94	-343,88	-15,64	-150,62	0,00	0,74	875,83	1,19	2,68	36,19	-1.541,50
29	-2.772,76	252,95	-2.867,58	347,36	2.513,29	0,17	-17,36	938,47	74,49	11,33	807,02	-9.367,43
30	-146,71	409,41	-239,53	-241,06	1.757,61	3,61	-256,88	113,79	65,50	85,54	500,81	-2.487,86
31	-3.728,41	1.388,81	-276,21	-310,35	2.225,13	-0,06	10,21	-23,23	-6,21	-9,38	-414,13	-4.708,49
32	-57,04	254,64	244,46	-28,87	305,15	-2,66	-5,26	47,90	0,84	0,08	-253,15	320,31
33	-1.805,31	45,92	-551,75	-71,61	462,55	0,00	-0,15	1,73	0,00	0,02	-102,43	-2.744,47
34	-1.851,55	125,97	1.027,18	-373,85	767,14	-0,16	-232,00	-27,74	-0,47	-2,18	76,43	-1.653,26
35	-199,52	-34,67	-86,85	41,26	32,26	0,00	1,29	-0,21	-0,02	-0,01	-25,71	-287,37
36	-126,36	481,41	-1.025,10	70,26	1.525,07	61,92	-34,21	-0,58	0,71	-1,14	4,27	-2.155,82
37	-566,41	5,09	449,35	-116,86	-91,19	-0,21	-0,25	1.079,71	0,16	-5,32	130,56	-1.342,30
38	-413,69	27,53	-140,05	-8,41	207,99	0,18	-0,16	-1,44	0,10	8,34	53,44	-803,07
39	-996,57	164,82	-4.764,74	153,71	1.214,47	1,12	2,20	263,68	0,42	45,30	313,31	-7.283,28
40	-430,17	-140,27	463,93	-208,55	348,01	0,09	-36,25	-991,70	0,10	-4,39	207,45	161,63
41	3.722,07	275,34	-2.323,94	80,29	-6.005,47	0,13	1,12	4,38	0,00	2,34	146,21	7.605,04
42	1.264,69	-240,85	2.553,73	-268,68	-1.800,92	-0,04	-0,62	-24,54	0,00	0,15	23,17	5.111,70
43	-1.287,28	120,89	1.605,69	-489,36	64,85	-0,01	-1,94	-13,35	0,00	0,15	17,71	-117,48
44	-3.737,49	-148,65	-503,15	-31,11	-2.351,01	0,10	-72,37	-107,74	0,00	0,30	75,56	-1.965,23
45	-2.016,29	178,97	1.868,06	-46,52	253,67	21,91	-19,70	107,02	0,04	-1,82	-48,20	-328,71
46	-862,86	60,07	178,16	75,55	-0,52	-0,01	9,74	35,05	0,02	14,51	-22,50	-585,38
47	252,52	122,93	7,25	-16,31	-4,03	2,50	-19,83	-192,34	0,00	2,79	57,78	519,53
48	44,00	33,12	-806,10	128,98	8,53	0,41	1,81	4,69	0,00	370,56	30,28	-1.016,29
49	-483,62	58,78	525,48	-49,74	-38,43	0,03	66,65	21,91	0,19	-19,17	-107,68	127,40
50	-148,17	1,01	-654,17	196,07	45,57	0,05	0,20	8,89	0,31	-0,08	-8,65	-651,57
51	-425,57	19,92	-72,64	11,93	-2,29	0,00	-1,10	-4,10	0,00	-0,07	-32,68	-426,12
52	163,94	48,15	-76,70	36,59	152,70	0,00	-1,84	-0,22	0,08	3,12	57,33	-39,18
53	-992,74	224,01	1.644,72	-92,53	100,37	-0,08	-155,44	-17,36	0,27	-1,31	-67,44	924,36
54	142,33	634,74	-1.633,71	-4,28	138,47	0,09	-248,02	-25,13	0,00	0,92	-2,72	-724,53
55	934,82	169,81	-764,06	2,21	1.834,42	80,92	-3.118,81	15,75	0,05	-0,19	17,28	1.513,35
56	3.364,69	1.026,78	1.810,11	-44,37	1.880,70	12,98	-888,08	-13,80	9,85	8,19	3,29	5.144,07
57	507,50	85,80	452,18	0,21	93,89	-5,39	57,75	-0,37	0,01	0,00	0,13	899,68
58	509,30	266,97	696,41	27,95	644,89	14,39	74,20	3,57	17,16	42,20	57,29	646,92
59	30,66	101,84	-87,50	6,72	185,54	34,58	-21,07	1,36	0,60	-9,53	-15,01	-124,76
60	289,82	89,13	387,87	2,11	93,98	148,88	16,19	0,74	0,00	0,93	0,19	508,02
61	-41,06	3,53	20,14	-60,93	7,87	0,00	-0,60	-9,64	0,73	3,76	-3,76	-76,69
62	594,90	195,46	147,78	-3,67	214,32	2,75	-1,03	-0,86	0,07	0,28	-8,03	726,98
63	0,00	0,00	-177,95	0,00	-339,42	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	161,47
Total	0,00	5.972,85	-4.484,43	1.973,05	4.202,92	382,17	-8.083,44	4.243,24	456,23	-1.762,76	10.459,97	-6.436,87

17

Table 4: PPT > 0 DECOMPOSITION

	PPT > 0	Optimal TFPS > 0	MS > 0
4	39.503,30	17.289,75	22.213,55
14	16.783,42	13.593,45	3.189,97
40	7.081,73	6.920,10	161,63
19	4.932,74	1.024,24	3.908,50
53	3.631,54	2.707,08	924,46
20	3.177,63	3.149,31	28,32
62	2.209,98	1.483,00	726,98
57	1.394,29	494,62	899,68
58	1.333,86	686,94	646,92
47	850,16	330,63	519,53
7	403,31	306,97	96,34

	PPT > 0	Optimal TFPS > 0	MS < 0
43	7.248,09	7.365,56	-117,48
26	5.633,21	5.661,93	-28,72
24	4.792,31	10.298,02	-5.505,71
17	4.051,42	6.618,26	-2.566,85
12	3.420,61	5.446,35	-2.025,74
36	3.008,76	5.164,58	-2.155,82
33	2.447,38	5.191,85	-2.744,47
38	747,18	1.550,25	-803,07
11	698,22	733,90	-35,68
30	403,65	2.891,51	-2.487,86
8	376,96	947,20	-570,25
2	18,11	239,57	-221,46

	PPT > 0	Optimal TFPS < 0	MS > 0
56	4.290,87	-853,20	5.144,07
42	3.095,41	-2.016,29	5.111,70
60	414,92	-93,10	508,02
1	219,65	-864,26	1.083,91
55	215,15	-1.298,20	1.513,35
63	161,47	0,00	161,47
23	113,17	-1.012,89	1.126,06

Table 5: PPT < 0 DECOMPOSITION

	PPT < 0	Optimal TFPS < 0	MS < 0
10	-14.082,94	-349,95	-13.732,98
28	-10.450,32	-1.082,89	-9.367,43
39	-9.210,14	-1.926,86	-7.283,28
5	-6.609,17	-6.408,84	-200,33
13	-5.630,06	-4.334,95	-1.295,11
54	-5.444,62	-4.720,09	-724,53
44	-5.275,09	-3.309,86	-1.965,23
28	-3.180,86	-1.639,36	-1.541,50
15	-2.360,24	-898,26	-1.461,98
51	-2.068,99	-1.642,87	-426,12
50	-1.863,91	-1.212,35	-651,57
37	-1.812,92	-470,62	-1.342,30
59	-1.798,09	-1.673,33	-124,76
9	-1.596,67	-776,70	-819,97
48	-1.501,49	-485,21	-1.016,29
52	-998,45	-959,27	-39,18
45	-632,03	-303,33	-328,71
3	-349,23	-122,37	-226,86
35	-344,76	-57,39	-287,37

	PPT < 0	Optimal TFPS > 0	MS < 0
18	-579,80	641,69	-1.221,49
46	-381,83	203,55	-585,38
34	-267,75	1.385,51	-1.653,26
31	-178,70	4.529,79	-4.708,49
61	-38,59	38,09	-76,69

	PPT < 0	Optimal TFPS < 0	MS > 0
27	-21.526,58	-26.584,22	5.057,63
41	-17.260,08	-24.865,13	7.605,04
16	-3.983,78	-4.478,48	494,70
6	-2.615,06	-3.528,15	913,09
22	-1.085,84	-1.929,01	843,17
32	-1.039,87	-1.360,17	320,31
49	-912,01	-1.039,41	127,40
25	-243,83	-424,13	180,31
21	-75,97	-476,88	400,91

Table 6.1: PRICE INDEXES - PURCHASE

	Intersectorial	Taxes	Value Added	Imports
1	0,94	1,26	1,09	1,08
2	0,98	-0,12	0,99	1,01
3	1,02	1,62	0,86	1,05
4	1,00	1,59	0,94	1,29
5	0,95	1,54	0,90	0,98
6	0,96	0,99	0,89	0,97
7	0,95	1,27	0,96	0,94
8	0,99	-3,73	0,95	1,01
9	0,95	0,70	0,77	0,96
10	1,06	1,21	1,94	1,46
11	0,95	1,39	0,88	0,99
12	0,97	1,14	0,83	0,87
13	0,96	1,56	0,96	0,91
14	0,95	1,11	0,86	1,16
15	0,97	0,60	0,68	1,00
16	0,97	1,29	0,97	0,93
17	0,93	1,15	1,09	0,73
18	0,94	1,02	0,95	0,90
19	0,92	1,50	0,99	0,90
20	0,93	1,48	0,94	0,87
21	0,94	1,32	1,05	1,01
22	0,93	1,13	1,07	0,91
23	0,92	1,24	1,08	0,87
24	1,02	5,09	1,07	0,96
25	1,23	1,77	1,32	1,18
26	1,03	1,38	1,43	1,20
27	0,95	1,06	1,03	1,04
28	0,96	1,00	0,93	0,92
29	0,98	1,13	0,92	0,99
30	0,97	1,35	0,98	0,91
31	0,98	1,54	1,08	0,97
32	0,96	5,23	1,26	0,98
33	1,00	2,81	0,33	1,04
34	0,98	1,40	1,11	0,98
35	0,97	0,83	0,96	1,05
36	0,97	1,41	0,97	1,01
37	0,93	1,07	1,16	0,86
38	0,95	1,28	0,92	0,94
39	0,97	1,99	0,63	0,78
40	0,96	0,73	1,00	0,93
41	0,93	1,02	0,89	0,94
42	0,90	1,02	2,22	1,08
43	0,99	1,38	1,35	0,97
44	0,96	0,81	1,03	0,99
45	0,94	1,31	1,07	1,01
46	0,93	1,17	0,97	1,01
47	0,93	1,26	0,94	0,93
48	0,98	1,27	0,78	1,01
49	0,96	1,35	1,06	0,99
50	0,97	0,92	0,84	0,99
51	0,98	1,66	0,97	1,00
52	0,97	8,54	0,93	1,00
53	0,99	1,44	1,10	1,00
54	1,00	1,18	0,96	0,92
55	0,93	1,09	0,91	0,93
56	0,93	1,22	0,99	0,92
57	0,94	1,13	1,05	1,05
58	0,96	1,31	1,05	1,01
59	0,96	1,20	0,94	0,97
60	0,94	1,23	1,10	1,03
61	0,97	1,09	0,99	0,85
62	0,96	1,57	1,00	0,96
63	0,00	0,00	0,98	0,00

Table 6.2: PRICE INDEXES – SALE

	Intersectoral	Households consumpions	ISP consumptions	Public expenditure	Investments	Valuables	Change in stocks	Exports
1	0,99	1,01	0,91	1,00	1,11	1,32	1,24	1,14
2	0,84	1,10	0,00	1,10	0,00	0,00	1,12	1,02
3	0,90	1,04	0,00	0,00	0,89	0,00	1,22	1,10
4	0,96	1,05	0,99	0,99	0,98	1,53	-0,06	1,13
5	0,96	1,00	1,04	0,93	0,99	1,22	0,70	1,00
6	1,00	0,91	1,01	0,91	0,99	1,25	1,00	0,99
7	0,95	0,98	0,98	0,95	0,93	1,26	1,07	0,98
8	1,00	1,03	0,99	0,91	0,97	1,33	1,10	0,97
9	0,85	0,96	0,99	0,90	0,95	1,40	0,53	0,96
10	1,41	1,36	0,98	0,99	1,02	1,36	1,76	1,54
11	0,96	0,98	1,01	0,86	0,96	1,21	1,82	1,03
12	0,93	0,88	1,00	0,83	0,95	1,33	0,94	0,95
13	0,96	0,98	1,00	0,93	0,98	1,29	1,11	1,01
14	0,91	1,00	1,00	0,90	0,98	1,29	1,04	0,95
15	0,96	1,03	1,00	1,07	0,96	1,40	1,04	0,97
16	0,92	0,97	1,00	1,41	0,97	1,33	1,01	0,96
17	0,86	0,75	1,00	0,88	0,90	1,22	1,04	0,97
18	0,92	0,95	1,01	0,88	0,96	1,35	1,32	0,96
19	0,91	0,95	1,00	0,90	0,95	1,36	1,23	0,95
20	0,91	0,96	1,01	0,83	0,88	1,48	1,15	0,93
21	0,91	1,02	1,00	0,82	0,99	1,29	1,75	1,00
22	0,95	0,99	0,99	0,89	0,96	1,30	1,31	0,98
23	0,95	0,94	1,00	0,93	0,99	1,36	0,40	0,97
24	1,03	0,96	1,02	0,89	1,18	1,90	-1,43	0,97
25	1,07	1,27	1,24	1,07	0,98	0,00	0,00	1,22
26	1,05	1,23	1,29	1,04	0,96	1,21	-3,49	1,21
27	0,93	1,04	0,96	0,34	1,01	1,35	1,04	0,97
28	0,92	0,95	0,99	0,98	1,42	1,38	1,19	0,99
29	0,89	1,00	1,01	0,95	1,03	1,38	1,43	1,01
30	0,91	1,00	1,06	0,89	0,99	1,17	2,17	1,04
31	1,00	1,20	0,99	1,11	1,08	0,89	0,84	0,97
32	1,20	1,22	1,06	1,05	1,95	1,63	0,00	1,02
33	0,91	1,14	1,05	1,05	1,15	1,07	0,00	1,01
34	0,99	1,17	1,01	1,01	1,02	0,94	0,94	1,09
35	0,92	1,03	1,01	1,11	0,98	0,91	0,95	0,95
36	0,94	1,00	1,03	0,95	0,98	1,19	0,75	1,05
37	0,79	0,97	0,90	0,97	3,11	1,23	1,14	1,14
38	0,81	0,98	0,98	0,91	0,94	1,15	1,08	0,99
39	0,72	0,81	0,99	0,87	0,88	1,15	0,89	0,80
40	0,93	1,17	0,99	0,94	0,94	1,06	0,92	1,05
41	0,95	0,66	0,99	0,99	0,93	0,00	0,00	0,97
42	1,98	1,18	0,98	0,99	0,90	0,00	0,00	1,39
43	1,12	1,24	1,00	0,94	1,03	0,00	0,00	1,21
44	0,96	1,02	1,10	0,98	1,02	0,00	0,00	1,09
45	0,96	1,09	1,08	0,96	1,08	1,37	1,00	1,00
46	0,90	0,96	0,96	1,01	1,00	1,37	0,98	0,95
47	0,93	0,89	0,97	0,82	0,92	0,00	1,01	0,97
48	0,90	1,02	1,04	0,98	0,97	0,00	0,00	0,95
49	0,96	1,01	1,02	1,15	1,11	1,16	4,00	0,96
50	0,88	0,96	1,04	0,94	0,98	1,12	0,86	0,90
51	0,91	0,97	0,95	0,96	0,86	0,00	0,00	0,94
52	0,98	0,99	0,98	0,96	0,96	1,11	0,00	1,02
53	0,98	1,06	1,03	0,95	0,95	1,15	0,96	1,01
54	0,95	1,05	1,14	0,97	0,89	0,00	-4,43	0,94
55	0,94	1,08	1,05	0,88	1,05	1,20	1,15	1,09
56	0,98	1,07	1,00	0,96	0,96	1,27	1,41	0,97
57	1,03	1,04	1,01	1,02	0,98	1,12	1,06	1,09
58	0,96	1,04	0,99	1,00	0,99	1,31	1,43	1,12
59	0,90	0,99	0,99	0,94	0,98	1,20	0,71	0,91
60	0,96	1,05	1,07	1,03	1,04	0,00	0,00	1,02
61	0,92	0,99	1,07	0,88	0,95	1,22	1,09	0,97
62	0,97	1,00	1,02	0,99	0,98	1,17	1,00	0,94
63	0,00	0,97	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

Table 6.2: PRICE INDEXES – TORNQVIST

	Törnqvist
1	1,07
2	1,00
3	0,96
4	0,97
5	0,98
6	0,96
7	0,96
8	1,02
9	0,88
10	1,38
11	0,98
12	0,92
13	0,99
14	0,93
15	0,96
16	0,95
17	0,94
18	0,95
19	0,94
20	0,92
21	0,96
22	0,97
23	0,99
24	1,12
25	1,18
26	1,17
27	0,99
28	0,95
29	0,96
30	0,98
31	1,09
32	1,14
33	1,06
34	1,07
35	0,99
36	0,98
37	0,99
38	0,94
39	0,76
40	0,99
41	0,92
42	1,37
43	1,20
44	1,03
45	1,02
46	0,96
47	0,94
48	0,91
49	1,02
50	0,91
51	0,98
52	0,97
53	1,04
54	0,97
55	0,92
56	0,97
57	1,01
58	0,98
59	0,96
60	1,01
61	0,98
62	1,00
63	0,98

References

Antille, G., Fontela, E. (2003). *The terms of trade and the international transfers of productivity gains*. Economic System Research, 15(1), 3–20.

Baumol, W.J. (1967). *Macroeconomics of unbalanced growth: the anatomy of urban Crisis*. American Economic Review, 57.

Baumol, W.J., Wolff, E.N. (1984). *On inter industry difference in absolute productivity*. Journal of political Economy, vol. 92.

Carter, A.P. (1990). *Upstream and downstream benefits of innovation*. Economic System research, vol. 2.

Fontela, E., Solari, L., Duval, A. (1971). *Production constraints and rpices in an Input-Outptut system*. In Brody A., Carter A.P. (eds), *Input-Output techniques*, North Holland Publishing.

Fontela, E. (1989). *Industrial structure and economic growth: An input output perspective*. Economic System Research, 1(1), 45–53.

Fontela, E., M., Pulido, A. (1993 - I). *Pasado, presente y futuro del analisi Input-Output*. Economia Industrial, 290, pp. 17-24.

Fontela, E., M., Pulido, A. (1993 - II). *Analisi Input-Output. Modelos, datos y aplicaciones*. Editorial Piramide.

Fontela, E. (1994). *Inter-industry distribution of productivity gains*. Economic System Research, 6(3), 227–236.

Fontela, E., Lo Cascio, M., Pulido, A. (2000). *Systemic Productivity and relative prices in an input-output framework*. XIII International Conference on Input-Output Techniques, Macerata.

Fontela, E. (2002). *Prix relative et structures de marches. Dialogue hors du temps avec Luigi Solari*. Revue Europeenne des sciences sociales.

Garau,G. (1996). *La distribution des gains de la croissance: une analyse entrees sorties*, ed. Lang, Berna.

Garau, G. (2002). *Total factor productivity surplus in a SAM context*. I International Conference on Economic and Social Statistics, China: Canton.

ISTAT. (2018). Make and Use Tables. http://www.istat.it.

Mazzucato, M. (2014). *Lo stato Innovatore*. Editori Laterza.

Rampa, G. (2008). *Using weighted least squares to deflate input output tables*. Economic Systems Research, 40(4).

Van Meijl H. (1997) "Measuring Intersectoral Spillover". Economic System Research, V. 9, N.1.

Ultimi Contributi di Ricerca CRENoS

I Paper sono disponibili in: http://www.crenos.unica.it

www.crenos.unica.it